TUNDRA SWAN

The 2017 Tundra Swan season was open from September 30 - January 12 in the following counties: Campbell, Walworth, Potter, Sully, Hughes, Hyde, Hand, Faulk, Spink, McPherson, Edmunds, Brown, Marshall, Roberts, Day, Grant, Codington, Clark, Hamlin, Deuel, Beadle, Kingsbury, Brookings, Buffalo, Jerauld, Brule, Aurora, Sanborn, Miner, Lake, Moody, Davison, Hanson, McCook, and Minnehaha. Residents and nonresidents could purchase up to two licenses. To hunt swans, residents were required to hold a small game, 1-day small game, senior, adult or junior combination, or youth small game license plus a migratory bird certification. Nonresidents were required to obtain a nonresident waterfowl license. All hunters age 16 and over were also required to obtain a federal waterfowl stamp. In addition, all hunters needed to apply for and receive a lottery-issued Tundra Swan license.

In 2017, there were 1,300 licenses available for the Tundra Swan season (1,100 residents, 200 nonresidents). A total of 1,068 licenses were sold (888 residents, 180 nonresidents) and 135 hunters purchased two licenses each. A random sample of 497 swan hunters was surveyed and the response rate was 75%. Approximately 72% of hunters that responded did so over the Internet.

From the survey responses, there were a projected 232 swans harvested during the 2017 season. An estimated 14 swans were crippled and not recovered. When asked their satisfaction on the season, (1 being least satisfied, 7 being most satisfied), swan hunters reported an average rating of 5.10. From those who responded, 33% indicated they did not hunt for swans (some hunters indicated they just purchased the license in case they happened to see a swan while hunting other waterfowl). The average days hunted by all license holders (5.17 days) projected to a total of 4,758 recreation days for the season.

The four counties with the highest reported swan harvests were McPherson, Clark, Brown, and Day.

Comparison of the 2008 – 2007 Tundra Swan seasons


	Licenses Sold			Harvest	Avg Days	Percent	Average
Year	Resident	Nonres	Total	(w/o cripples)	Hunted	Success	Satisfaction
2008	758	200	958	220	3.68	23%	5.12
2009	1,034	208	1,242	297	3.72	24%	5.02
2010	991	243	1,234	191	2.77	15%	4.79
2011	978	178	1,156	261	2.94	23%	5.05
2012	1,064	202	1,266	157	2.85	12%	4.97
2013	1,081	178	1,259	306	3.01	24%	5.31
2014	1,018	198	1,216	280	3.27	23%	5.11
2015	1,088	211	1,299	229	3.07	18%	5.09
2016	938	145	1,083	82	2.96	8%	4.81
2017	888	180	1,068	232	5.17	22%	5.10

2017 Tundra Swan Harvest Projections																
Last Revised:			L	icenses												
24-May-2018	Resident Nonresident						Harvest Projections				Ave.	Ave.				
	App. 1st			App. 1st			_'	Response	Tag					Total	Days	Satis-
Unit/Type	Choice *	Available	Sold	Choice *	Available	Sold	Hunters	Rate	Success	Cripples **	Adults	Juvnles	Unk.	Harvest	Hunted	faction
00A-81	303	1,100	888	108	200	180	933	75.3%	22%	14	205	22	5	232	5.17	5.10
* Number of 1st drawing applicants with that season as 1st choice.																
** Cripple estimate not included in Total Harvest Estimate.																
Catiofaction in h	Estimation in based on scale with 1-year dissortiation and 7-year estimated															

Tundra Swan Harvest Distribution by County 2017										
	HARVEST DISTRIBUTION									
COUNTY	# Reported	# Projected	% of Total	# Proj w/ Unk *						
Brown	8	20	9.2	21						
Beadle	1	2	1.1	3						
Codington	1	2	1.1	3						
Brookings	0	0	0.0	0						
Campbell	2	5	2.3	5						
Clark	16	40	18.4	43						
Day	7	17	8.0	19						
Deuel	0	0	0.0	0						
Edmunds	4	10	4.6	11						
Faulk	1	2	1.1	3						
Grant	0	0	0.0	0						
Hamlin	0	0	0.0	0						
Hyde	0	0	0.0	0						
Kingsbury	0	0	0.0	0						
Lake	0	0	0.0	0						
McPherson	37	92	42.5	99						
Marshall	5	12	5.7	13						
Potter	3	7	3.4	8						
Roberts	1	2	1.1	3						
Spink	1	2	1.1	3						
Walworth	0	0	0.0	0						
Unk/Unreported	6	15	=							
TOTALS:	93	232	100%	232						

Last Revised: 24 May 2018

TUNDRA SWAN HARVEST, 1990-2017



^{*} Includes unknown county projection values by assuming unknown county values are distributed the same as reported county values. Total values may be different due to rounding.